Section 900.00 - FORMS

The following forms are included in this manual.

- ITD-2760, Request for Consultant Services
- ITD-2112, Supplemental Agreement Authorization Request w/instructions
- ITD-1414, Project Program Entry or Revision
- ITD-2101, Project Authorization and Agreement
- ITD-2210, Board Agenda Item
- ITD-771, Professional Agreement Invoice and Progress Report
- ITD-2759, Consultant Services Performance Evaluation
- Professional Service Agreements Handbook Change Request

ITD 2760 (Rev. 5-03)

Request For Consultant Services



Send completed form to the Consultant Administration Unit of the Roadway Design Section for processing **Project Name** Key Number **Project Number** Fiscal Year Local Sponsor (if applicable) Local Sponsor Contact Name Phone Number (208)Reason For Requesting Consultant Services Project Type ☐ ITD ☐ Local Special expertise required State/Local Agreement Executed (if applicable) Yes No Consultant services needed to meet project schedule Full Federal Oversight Project ☐ Yes ☐ No Utility/RR Coordination and/or Involvement Needed ☐ Yes ☐ No **Estimated Cost of** Obligated/ Estimated Time to Estimated * If amount entered is \$0, in the Summary of Work Available Funding* Perform Services Services **Construction Cost** Required section, please explain resources you're Months \$ exploring to obtain funding. Provide Summary of Work Required Agreement Administrator Phone Number (208)Title Requested by Date This part shall be completed by the Consultant Administration Unit of the Roadway Design Section Type of Agreement Recommended **PATS** Request Number 1. Services to be performed under an existing term agreement. 2. Individual project solicitation and consultant selection. (Attach Selection Committee List) ☐ 3. Local project less than \$250,000 ☐ 4. Noncompetitive selection a. The service is available only from a single source. ☐ b. There is an emergency that will not permit the time necessary to conduct competitive negotiations. C. After solicitation of a number of sources, competition is determined inadequate. 5. Minor agreement procedures. Recommended Consultant For Items 1, 3, 4 or 5 Board Agenda Item Required ☐ Yes ☐ No Coordinated by Consultant Administration Unit Date Concurrence (if applicable) by Environmental Manager Date Reviewed by Roadway Design Engineer Date Approved by Assistant Chief Engineer (Development) Date

SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT AUTHORIZATION REQUEST 5D-2112 SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT NO.:

8-99

PROJECT NAME: KEY NO.: SHEET OF PROJECT NO.: WORK AUTHORIZATION NO.: AGREEMENT NO.: DATE: CONSULTANT: DISTRICT: See instructions on page 2. Original Agreement Amount: Current Agreement Amount: (Includes all approved supplemental agreements to date) PROVIDE EXPLANATION OF NEED FOR SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT EXPLAIN HOW THIS WORK IS OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THE ORIGINAL AGREEMENT PROVIDE A SHORT DESCRIPTION OF THE SCOPE OF WORK TO BE NEGOTIATED UNDER THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT Work on This Change is Expected to Start on: Estimated Dollar Amount Of Supplemental Agreement: Percent Increase: Recommended By: Title: Authorization Level: District Engineer (<\$25,000 < 10% increase) Signature: Date Negotiated Scope of Work & Man-Day Estimates will be submitted to Roadway Design:

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETING FORM 2112

- **1. Original Agreement Amount** This is the not-to-exceed amount negotiated plus the additional services of the original agreement.
- **2. Current Agreement Amount -** This is the Original Agreement Amount plus all previously approved supplemental agreements as of the date of this request. Do not include the amount of this request in this total.
- **Explanation of need for supplemental agreement** List and explain the events that led to the need for a supplemental agreement.
- **Explanation of out of scope** Provide an explanation as to why this work is not in the original scope. Reference the portions of the detailed scope of work where this work would normally be found. Explain how the detailed scope of work does not cover this change.
- **Description of work** Provide a short description of the scope of the supplemental agreement which will be negotiated upon approval of this change.
- **6. Work on This Change is Expected to Start on -** This is the date that this change in scope of work will begin. NO WORK MAY BEGIN ON THE CHANGE UNTIL THE SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT HAS BEEN NEGOTIATED AND A PSA FOR THE ADDITIONAL WORK HAS BEEN ISSUED.
- 7. **Estimated Dollar Amount of Supplemental Agreement** The dollar amount the Agreement Administrator estimates from his/her independent man-day estimate for the supplemental agreement.
- **8. Percent Increase** This is the percent increase of the original agreement dollar amount.
- **9. Authorization Level** Choose the Authorizing Authority from the table below:

<\$25,000 & <10% increase</p>
\$25,000 & <\$50,000 & <10% increase</p>
\$50,000 & <\$100,000</p>
\$100,000 & <\$1,000,000</p>
\$1,000,000 (including original Agreement)
District Engineer or Section Head
Consultant Administration Engineer
Roadway Design Engineer
Chief Engineer/Assistant Chief Eng.
Idaho Transportation Board

If the % increase is higher than those shown above, then the authorizing authority will be the next level.

- **Recommended by -** Name of person recommending the supplemental agreement. This would typically be the Agreement Administrator or any higher authority involved with the agreement.
- 11. Title Show the title of the recommending party.
- **12. Date negotiated scope and ...** This is the date that the Agreement Administrator will provide to the Consultant Administration Engineer the negotiated scope of work and cost estimate for the supplemental, including the independent man-day estimate prepared by the Agreement Administrator and the man-day estimate prepared by the consultant.

ITD-1414 10-98 W 27-081000-5

PROJECT PROGRAM ENTRY OR REVISION

ITD Board	
Approved _	



Date			Highwa	ay No.			FA Route	No.			Distric	et	Key No.	
				PRE	VIOUSI	LY APPRO	OVED [Amo	unts in tl	nousands	of dollar (\$6	000)]			
Fiscal Ye	ear	P	roject i	#				Project	Name					
Segmen	t Code			# of Lar	nes		Cost per l	km/M		Type of \	Work			
Beg. km	/MP			End km	/MP		Length							
Subclass	ses													
	Fund:				Fund:			Fund:			Fund:			Year
	PE	RI	N	Const.	PE	RW	Const.	PE	RW	Const.	PE	RW	Const.	Total
Prev.														
1998														
1999														
2000														
2001														
2002														
Prel.														
TOTAL														
	Total P	E			Total I	RW		Total (Const.		Proiect	Lifetime T	otal	
			VITIAL	REQUI			TED REVIS			housands				
Fiscal Ye	ear		roject a					Project			•			
Segmen	t Code			# of Lar	nes		Cost per l	km/M		Type of \	Vork			
Beg. km.				End km	/MP		Length							
Subclass	ses													
	Fund:				Fund:			Fund:			Fund:			Year
	PE	RV	N (Const.	PE	RW	Const.	PE	RW	Const.	PE	RW	Const.	Total
Prev.														
1998														
1999														
2000														
2001														
2002														
Prel.														
TOTAL														
	Total P	E			Total I	RW		Total C	Const.		Project	Lifetime T	otal	
Estimate	e prepare	d by:				Date		Recomm	nended for	r approval:			Date	
Appr	roved		Not A	pproved	i									
	Pi	oject A	Action				Signa	iture, Ro	adway Des	sign Engine	eer		Da	ite
Appr	roved		Not A	pproved	ł									
	Pi	roject A	Action				Signature, A	ssistant	Chief Engi	ineer (Deve	elopment)		Da	ite
Annı	roved			pproved	1									
ДАР		roject A		pproved	•			Signatur	o Chiof E	nginoor			De	nto
COMPK		ojeci P	AGUOTI			Signature, Chief Engineer Date COUNTY Circle M Yes								
SPONS						COOM					BR NU		Ш	169
COMME	7 - 1										DIV NO	MDEK		
COMME		Distri	ict	□ Rose	dway D	esian (2)	☐ Environ	mental	☐ Finan	cial Service	s DTr	affic 🗆	Planning	Div
Routing	L						Right							
		_ Dild6	,0 000	9" _	Driuge	mopeonor	. Lingin	. or vvay	Птоас	a, Doolg	(=,,,)		Tanoport	Caon Div.

	AMOUNT	COMMENTS OR MAJOR CHANGE REFERENCE
DATE (MO./YR.)	7	Initial Programming (First ITD-1414)
		militar regramming (rilection riving)
).		
ISTRICT COMME	NTS OR PROJE	CT BENEFIT CHANGES:
STRICT COMME	NTS OR PROJE	CT BENEFIT CHANGES:
STRICT COMME		
STRICT COMME		

PROJECT AUTHORIZATION AND AGREEMENT

Printed on: 10/22/2002 Project Tracking Report

KEY 8316 WA T021550 Project Number CM-0900(121) Original Modification STD Code 72100 C Hwy Type L Urbanized U System Z 1 Budget Code 623190 Location OFFSYS, LINCOLN AVE, SANDPOINT District:

Beg MP 000.000 End MP 000.000 Length 0.000M Auth. Yr. 2003 Inventory Route No. OFFSYS0 (017)BONNER-100% County

SF Co	st Effec	tive	Cong. Distric	t 1 FHWA Ove	rsight A RW Da	te Env	Date	Env Type
Indiar	n Res.			Public Land		Fores	st Hwy	
Appr.	. Code	Calc	Total Est. Cost	Federal Share	State Share	Other Share	Total This Issue	Fed Aid This Issue
Q400	90.00	90.00	43,000.00	38,700.00	0.00	4,300.00	24,000.00	21,600.00
			43 000 00	38 700 00	0.00	4.300.00	24 000 00	21.600.00

Туре	Code	G	Type of	Work ENVIRONMENTAL PRESERVATION		Rule A	Rule E
Fct	. Da	ate	ImpTyp	Description	App.	Participating	Non-Part.
PC	11-0	7-01	15	Contract Work	Q400	43,000.00	0.00

Remarks: This project is being revised to increase total cost by \$24,000.00 (FA-\$21,600.00) from Q40 funds to cover additional PC.

Offset is from decreased CE on this project

Federal Aid Limit Fund CMAQ(L) 319,600

Other Name SANDPOINT

Prepared By/Date	Approved By/Date	RD Reviewed By/Date	HP Reviewed By/Date		
Don Davis	Jim Roletto	Dee Moffat	ne		
10/8/2002	10/8/2002	10/10/2002	10/10/2002		

Federal Projects: The State stipulates that (1) it accept and will comply with the agreement provisions set forth in 23 CFR 630.307, and (2) the signature below constitutes the making of the certifications set forth in 23 CFR 630.307. ITD 2210 (Rev. 9-03)

Board Agenda Item



Meeting Date		Amount of Time Needed for Presentation				
Presenter's Name		Presenter's Title		Initials		
Preparer's Name		Preparer's Title		Initials		
Subject						
Route Number	Project Number		Key Number	er		
District	Location					
Recommendation	ns					
Recommendation	115					
Board Action						
Approved	Deferred					
Other						

Professional Agreement Invoice and Progress Report



ITD 0771 (Rev. 3-02)

Idaho Transportation Department

This page must be filled out monthly by the Consultant and forwarded to the Agreement Administrator with the monthly invoice. If necessary, attach additional sheets for continuation.

Progress Report No.	Proj	ect No.	Date
Agreement Administrator	Key	No.	Agreement No.
Consultant	Proj	ect Name	
Report/Billing Period (From and To)		No.	Invoice No.
Description of Work Accomplished During the M	onth		
Summary of Work Completed to Date (Milestone:	s Completed and Dates)		
Information Required from ITD to Avoid Delays			
List Changes in Scope or Complexity Requiring a	Supplemental Agreemental Agreemental		
Consultant's Signature		Printed Name	and Title

Professional Agreement Invoice and Progress Report



ITD 0771 (Rev. 3-02)

Idaho Transportation Department

This p	oage n	nust be	filled	out b	by the	Agreement	Αc	lministrator.
--------	--------	---------	--------	-------	--------	-----------	----	---------------

Work Authority No.	Work Authority No. Progress Report		Key	Agreement No.		
Report Reviewed By	<u> </u>		Revi	ew Date		
The Following was	Initiated					
•						
Status Report						
_	ort must sasamnany s	11 Agraamant i	nyoioos rooon	amandad for navm	ent. The requested	naraantaga
A completed status repensasurements of progre			nvoices recon	innended for payme	ent. The requested	percentage
	Time Passed		f Agreement Time	Elongod	Percent of Wor	ls Completed
Agreement	Time Passed	Percent o	Agreement Time %	Elapseu	Percent of Wor	%
			70			
Original Agreement	Supplemental(s)	Current Agreeme	nt	Payments (Including this Payment)	Percent of Dollars Paid	Agreement
\$	\$	\$		\$		%
	Fixed Fee					
This Invoice	To Date	Negotiate	ed			
\$	\$	\$				
If There is a Signific	eant Variance Between the Perc	centages, Please Expl	ain			
		r				
Consultant Invoice N	To .		This Day	mont Amount		
Consultant invoice is	NO.		\$	ment Amount		
			Ф			
Progress Payment: substantiated, significant the work accomplished. I		support documen	tation (receipts			
Final Payment: I cer nave been delivered or va work performed. I hereby		it of indebtednes	ss received, and			
Agreement Adminis	trator's Signature	Da	ate	Second (Indep	endent) Reviewer's Signatus	re

CONSULTANT SERVICES

Performance Evaluation Instructions



Why

Scores from these evaluations factor into "Past Performance" ratings, which are used to help determine selection of future consultants. Meaningful evaluations help us hire the very best.

How

- Form should be reviewed and discussed with the Consultant during contract negotiations to establish your expectations.
- Supplementary forms are available from the Consultant Administration Unit. Further details about the considerations for each criteria (i.e., Schedule: a. Achieved schedule; b. Prompt response to review comments; c. Adapted to changes by ITD; d. Notified ITD early, regarding schedule impacts) are available.
- Score accurately. A "4" is respectable; "5" is exceptional and should be rarely used.
- Send form to Consultant for signature after evaluation totals are completed.

When

Final Evaluation

- Always complete and distribute a performance evaluation at the point of termination of the agreement.
- Distribute as noted on the bottom of the form.
- Meet with consultant if evaluation is below requirements.

Subconsultant Evaluation

- Subconsultants with significant project participation (more than \$25,000) should also be evaluated. Coordinate review with the prime consultant's review prior to distribution.
- Distribute the same as regular reviews and include the prime consultant and subconsultant.
- When assessing the schedule completion, address ITD delays if any.

CONSULTANT SERVICES PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

Name and Address of Consultant	Evaluation Type Y Consultant	V	Subconsult	ont		
				1		
			Project No.			Key No.
			Project Title			
Type of Work			Agreement Number			
Y Study Y Righ	nt of Way		Type of Agreement			
Y Design Y Other	er (Specify)		Y Lump Sum		\boldsymbol{Y} Other	
Complexity of Work Y Difficult Y Routine	Date Agreer	ment Approved	Y Cost Plus Fixed	Fee		
Amount of Original Agreement \$		Total \$	Amount Supple	ementals	Total Agrees	ment Amount
Agreement Completion Date including Extensions		Actual Completi	ion Date		Actual Total	P aid
Type and Extent of Subcontracting				DBE G		OMMITTED %
Performance Rating Scale (from Aver	age Score bel	ow)				
5	4		3		2	1
Superior	Above Requ	irements M	eets Requirements	Below	Requirement	s Poor
Criteria			Con	nment		Score
Negotiations and Cost/Budget Cooperative and responsive Completed within agreement but	ıdget includinş	g supplements				
Schedule Completed within agreement sc	hedule includ	ing supplements				
Technical Quality Met standards						
Communications Clear, concise communication (oral, written, o	drawings)				
5. Management Team player, managed subs, accappropriate periodic progress re	curate and time	ely invoices,				
6. DBE (to score use only 2, 3, or 4) Met goals						
Total Score						
Average Score (total score ÷ number	of criteria rate	d)				
Rated by (Agreement Administrator I	Name and Title	e)	Agreement Administrat	or Signati	ure	Date
Rated by (Consultant Representative	Name and Titl	e)	Consultant Representat	ive Signat	ture	Date
Review by (Consultant Administration	n Unit Name	and Title)	Consultant Administrat	ion Unit S	Signature	Date

CONSULTANT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Name of Consultant	
Project	Agreement No.
1. Negotiation And Cost/Budget Criteria	Score
A. Recognized ITD guidelines for overhead and fee	
B. Finished within budget, including supplements	
C. Appropriate level of effort	
D. Reasonable, direct, non-salary expenses	
E.	
Total	
Average Score (total score ÷ number of criteria rated)	
2. Schedule Criteria	Score
A. Met negotiation schedule	
B. Achieved schedule, including all supplements	
C. Prompt response to review comments	
D. Adapted to changes by ITD	
E. Notified ITD early regarding schedule "impactor"	
F.	
Total	
Average Score (total score ÷ number of criteria rated)	
3. Technical Quality Criteria	Score
A. Work products meet standards where "practical" and as negotiated on the scope of work	
B. Performed appropriate quality control	
C. Responds to review comments in subsequent submission	
D. Sought opportunities to incorporate innovative designs	
E. Delivered "compatible" electronic files	
F. Implemented procedures to control construction cost	
G.	
Total	
Average Score (total score ÷ number of criteria rated)	

CONSULTANT PERFORMANCE EVALUATION CRITERIA

Name of Consultant	
Project	Agreement No.
4. Communication Criteria	Score
A. Produced clear, concise, oral and written communications	
B. Demonstrates an understanding of oral and written instructions	
C. Communicated at intervals appropriate for the work	
D. Respects and uses acceptable lines of communication	
E. Open and honest communications	
F.	
Total	
Average Score (total score ÷ number of criteria rated)	
5. Management Criteria	Score
A. Provided effective cost control measures/ideas	
B. Submitted appropriate, periodic, accurate progress reports	
C. Accurate and timely invoices	
D. Conducted meetings efficiently	
E. Limited the number of consultant-initiated contract modifications/supplementals	
F. Coordinated with ITD effectively; was a "team player"	
G. Responsiveness	
H. Managed subconsultants effectively	
I. Adheres to schedule on time	
J.	
Total	
Average Score (total score ÷ number of criteria rated)	
Additional Comments (Optional)	

PROFESSIONAL SERVICE AGREEMENTS HANDBOOK CHANGE REQUEST

Please complete for any proposed changes and send to the Consultant Administration Unit.

When modifications are of a sufficient number, the handbook shall be revised and submitted to FHWA for approval.

Chapter No.	Section No.	Heading Title					
Please answer the following:							
1. Why is this change or addition needed? (Use additional sheets if necessary.)							
2. Do you believe the change or addition would be beneficial statewide?			e?	YES	NO		
3. Does this proposed change effect other portion(s) of this manual?			YES	NO			
If yes, which o	nes?						
Add/Delete/Revise (<i>Please explain or attach an example.</i>)							
Submitted by:		To be c	ompleted	by	the Co	onsultant	
		Administrat	ion Unit				
		Approved		Disap	proved	<u> </u>	
Name:		Comments:				Date:	
Title:							
Section/District:						L	
Date:		Request No.					